

(r, p) -ABSOLUTELY SUMMING OPERATORS ON THE SPACE $C(T, X)$ AND APPLICATIONS

DUMITRU POPA

Received 27 March 2000

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator on the space $C(T, X)$ to be (r, p) -absolutely summing. Also we prove that the injective tensor product of an integral operator and an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator.

For X and Y Banach spaces we denote by $L(X, Y)$ the Banach space of all linear and continuous operators from X to Y equipped with the operator norm, and by $X \otimes_\varepsilon Y$ the injective tensor product of X and Y , that is, the completion of the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes Y$ with respect to the injective cross-norm $\varepsilon(u) = \sup\{\langle x^* \otimes y^*, u \rangle \mid \|x^*\| \leq 1, \|y^*\| \leq 1\}$, $u \in X \otimes Y$. If T is a compact Hausdorff space and X is a Banach space, we denote by $C(T, X)$ the Banach space of all continuous X -valued functions defined on T , equipped with the supremum norm and by $C(T) = C(T, X)$ for $X = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . It is well known that $C(T, X) = C(T) \otimes_\varepsilon X$. Also if T is a compact space and X is a Banach space, we denote by Σ the σ -field of Borel subsets of T , $S(\Sigma, X)$ the space of X -valued Σ -simple functions on T , and by $B(\Sigma, X)$ we denote the uniform closure of the space $S(\Sigma, X)$; $B(\Sigma)$ for $X = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We also use that $B(\Sigma, X) \hookrightarrow C(T, X)^{**}$. For the representing theorems of the linear and continuous operators on the space $C(T, X)$, see [1, 3]. Recall only that to each $U \in L(C(T, X), Y)$ correspond a representing measure $G : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y^{**})$ and $G(E)x = U^{**}(\chi_E x)$. Also if $U \in L(X, Y)$, $V \in L(X_1, Y_1)$, by $U \otimes_\varepsilon V : X \otimes_\varepsilon Y \rightarrow X_1 \otimes_\varepsilon Y_1$ we denote the injective tensor product of the operators U and V . If $U \in L(X \otimes_\varepsilon Y, Z)$, for each $x \in X$ we consider the operator $U^\# x : Y \rightarrow Z$, $(U^\# x)(y) = U(x \otimes y)$, $y \in Y$, and evidently $U^\# : X \rightarrow L(Y, Z)$ is linear and continuous. For $1 \leq r < \infty$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ we write, $l_r(x_i \mid i = 1, n) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^r)^{1/r}$ and $w_r(x_i \mid i = 1, n) = \sup\{(\sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_i)|^r)^{1/r} \mid x^* \in X^*, \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$. Let $1 \leq p \leq r < \infty$,

$U \in L(X, Y)$ is called (r, p) -absolutely summing if there is some $C > 0$ such that if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ then $l_r(Ux_i \mid i = 1, n) \leq Cw_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n)$. The (r, p) -absolutely summing norm of U is $\|U\|_{r,p} = \inf C$. We observe that, $\|U\|_{r,p} = \sup\{l_r(Ux_i \mid i = 1, n) \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, w_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n) \leq 1\}$. We denote by $As_{r,p}(X, Y)$ the Banach space of all (r, p) -absolutely summing operators from X into Y equipped with the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm. The $(1, 1)$ -absolutely summing operators we call absolutely summing and $As(X, Y) = As_{1,1}(X, Y)$, $\|\cdot\|_{as} = \|\cdot\|_{1,1}$. For other notions used and not defined we refer the reader to [3, 6].

The following theorem is an extension of [1, Proposition 2.2(ii)], [8, Theorem 2.1], and [5, Theorem 3.1].

THEOREM 1. *If $U \in As_{r,p}(X \otimes_\varepsilon Y, Z)$, then $U^\#x \in As_{r,p}(Y, Z)$ for each $x \in X$ and $U^\# : X \rightarrow As_{r,p}(Y, Z)$ is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator with respect to the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm on $As_{r,p}(Y, Z)$. In addition, $\|U^\#\|_{r,p} \leq \|U\|_{r,p}$.*

Proof. For $x \in X$, let $V_x : Y \rightarrow X \otimes_\varepsilon Y, V_x(y) = x \otimes y$. Then by the hypothesis and the ideal property of the (r, p) -absolutely summing operators it follows that $U^\#x = UV_x$ is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator. Now let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ with $\|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p}$, for each $i = 1, n$. By the definition of the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm it follows that there is $(y_{ij})_{j \in \sigma_i}, \sigma_i$ finite, $\sigma_i \subset N$ such that $\|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon < l_r(U^\#x_i(y_{ij}) \mid j \in \sigma_i)$ and $w_p(y_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i) \leq 1$ for each $i = 1, n$. Hence $l_r(\|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon \mid i = 1, n) < l_r(U(x_i \otimes y_{ij}) \mid j \in \sigma_i, i = 1, n)$. As U is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator we obtain

$$l_r(U(x_i \otimes y_{ij}) \mid j \in \sigma_i, i = 1, n) \leq \|U\|_{r,p}w_p(x_i \otimes y_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i, i = 1, n). \tag{1}$$

But we claim that $w_p(x_i \otimes y_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i, i = 1, n) \leq w_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n)$ and thus we obtain

$$l_r(\|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon \mid i = 1, n) < \|U\|_{r,p}w_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n), \tag{2}$$

that is, $l_r(\|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} \mid i = 1, n) \leq \|U\|_{r,p}w_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n)$. Now for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, if we denote by $I = \{i = \overline{1, n} \mid \|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} > 0\}$, then from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_r(\|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} \mid i = 1, n) &= l_r(\|U^\#x_i\|_{r,p} \mid i \in I) \\ &\leq \|U\|_{r,p}w_p(x_i \mid i \in I) \\ &\leq \|U\|_{r,p}w_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and the proof of the theorem will be finished. Now let $\psi \in (X \otimes_\varepsilon Y)^*, \|\psi\| \leq 1$. Then, as it is well known, there is a regular Borel measure μ on $U_{X^*} \times U_{Y^*} = T$

such that for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, $\psi(x, y) = \int_T x^*(x)y^*(y)d\mu(x^*, y^*)$, $\|\psi\| = |\mu|(T) \leq 1$ (see [2] or [3]). Then using the Hölder inequality and the fact that $\|\psi\| = |\mu|(T) \leq 1$ we have

$$|\langle x \otimes y, \psi \rangle| \leq \left(\int_T |x^*(x)|^p |y^*(y)|^p d|\mu|(x^*, y^*) \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for } x \in X, y \in Y. \quad (4)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |\langle x_i \otimes y_{ij}, \psi \rangle|^p &\leq \int_T \sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_i)|^p \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |y^*(y_{ij})|^p d|\mu|(x^*, y^*) \\ &\leq \int_T \sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_i)|^p d|\mu|(x^*, y^*) \\ &\leq [w_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n)]^p |\mu|(T), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

since $w_p(y_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i) \leq 1$, for each $i = 1, n$. Hence $w_p(x_i \otimes y_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i, i = 1, n) \leq w_p(x_i \mid i = 1, n)$ and the claim is proved. \square

In [5, 7], examples of operators are given which show that the converse of [Theorem 1](#) is not true.

The next theorem is an extension of [1, Theorem 2.5] and the result of Swartz [8, Theorem 2].

THEOREM 2. *Let $U : C(T, X) \rightarrow Y$ be a linear and continuous operator, G its representing measure. If U is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator, then $G(E) \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$, for each $E \in \Sigma$ and $G : \Sigma \rightarrow \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$ has the property that $\|G\|_{r,p}(T) = \sup\{(\sum_{i=1}^n \|G(E_i)\|_{r,p}^r)^{1/r} \mid \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \subset \Sigma \text{ a finite partition of } T\} \leq \|U\|_{r,p}$.*

Proof. As it is well known, if V is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator then its bidual V^{**} is also (r, p) -absolutely summing (see [6]). As U is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator we obtain, using [Theorem 1](#), that $V = U^\# : C(T) \rightarrow \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$ is (r, p) -absolutely summing and hence V^{**} is also (r, p) -absolutely summing. But on $C(T)$, (r, p) -absolutely summing operators are weakly compact. This follows easily using [3, Theorem 15, page 159]. Hence the representing measure G of U which coincides with that of $V = U^\#$ takes its values in $\text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$. Because $V^{**} : B(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$ is an (r, p) -absolutely summing we have

$$l_r(V(\chi_{E_i}) \mid i = 1, n) \leq \|V^{**}\|_{r,p} w_p(\chi_{E_i} \mid i = 1, n) = \|V^{**}\|_{r,p} = \|U^\#\|_{r,p} \quad (6)$$

for each partition $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \subset \Sigma$ of T . Using [Theorem 1](#), we have

$$\|U^\#\|_{r,p} \leq \|U\|_{r,p}. \quad (7)$$

As $G(E) = V^{**}(\chi_E)$, from (6) and (7) we obtain the theorem. \square

The following lemmas show that in the inequality from [Theorem 2](#), we can have both equality and strict inequality.

LEMMA 3. *For $1 \leq p \leq r < \infty$, X and Y Banach spaces, there is $U : C([0, 1], X) \rightarrow Y$ an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator whose representing measure has the properties $\|G\|_{r,p}([0, 1]) = (2^r + 1)^{1/r}$, $\|U\|_{r,p} = 3$ and hence if $r \neq 1$, $\|G\|_{r,p}([0, 1]) < \|U\|_{r,p}$.*

Proof. Let $x^* \in X^*$ with $\|x^*\| = 1$, $y \in Y$, $\|y\| = 1$. For $t \in [0, 1]$, t fixed, we denote $\nu = 2\delta_t - \mu$, where δ_t is the Dirac measure and μ is the Lebesgue measure. Let $U : C([0, 1], X) \rightarrow Y$, $U(f) = (\int_0^1 x^* f d\nu)y$. Evidently $G(E) = (x^* \otimes y)\nu(E)$ is the representing measure of U and $\|G(E)\|_{r,p} = |\nu(E)|$, from where

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G\|_{r,p}([0, 1]) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|G(E_i)\|_{r,p}^r \right)^{1/r} \mid \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \subset \Sigma \text{ a finite partition of } T \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\nu(E_i)\|^r \right)^{1/r} \mid \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \subset \Sigma \text{ a finite partition of } T \right\} \\ &= (2^r + 1)^{1/r}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} l_r(Uf_i \mid i = 1, n) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_0^1 x^* f_i d\nu \right|^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq w_p(f_i \mid i = 1, n) |\nu|([0, 1]) \\ &= 3w_p(f_i \mid i = 1, n) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

hence, $\|U\|_{r,p} \leq 3$. Also, $3 = |\nu|([0, 1]) \leq \|U\|_{r,p}$ and the lemma is proved. \square

LEMMA 4. *For $1 \leq r < \infty$, X and Y Banach spaces, T a compact Hausdorff space, μ a regular positive finite Borel measure on T , there is $U : C(T, X) \rightarrow L_r(\mu, Y)$, an r -absolutely summing operator, whose representing measure G has the property $\|G\|_{r,r}(T) = \|U\|_{r,r}$.*

Proof. Let $J : C(T) \rightarrow L_r(\mu)$ be the canonical inclusion. As it is well known and easy to prove (cf. [\[2, 6\]](#)), J is an r -absolutely summing operator with $\|J\|_r = [\mu(T)]^{1/r}$. Also, $F(E) = \chi_E$ is the representing measure of J and

$\|F(E)\|_{r,r} = [\mu(E)]^{1/r}$, thus $\|F\|_{r,r}(T) = [\mu(T)]^{1/r}$. Now let $x^* \in X^*$ with $\|x^*\| = 1$, $y \in Y$, $\|y\| = 1$ and $U : C(T, X) \rightarrow L_r(\mu, Y)$, $U(f) = J(x^*f)y$. Then $G(E) = (x^* \otimes y)F(E)$ is the representing measure of U and it is clear that $l_r(Uf_i \mid i = 1, n) \leq \|J\|_r w_p(x^*f_i \mid i = 1, n) \leq [\mu(T)]^{1/r} w_p(f_i \mid i = 1, n)$, that is, U is an r -absolutely summing operator with $\|G\|_{r,r}(T) = \|U\|_{r,r} = [\mu(T)]^{1/r}$. \square

The following theorem is an extension of a result from [1, Proposition 3].

THEOREM 5. *Let $U : C(T, X) \rightarrow Y$ be a linear and continuous operator, G its representing measure. If $G(E) \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$ for each $E \in \Sigma$ and $G : \Sigma \rightarrow \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$ has finite variation with respect to the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm on $\text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$, then U is an (r, p) -absolutely summing operator.*

Proof. We consider $\hat{U} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y$, $\hat{U}(f) = \int_T f dG$, $f \in B(\Sigma, X)$. Since \hat{U} is an extension of U to $B(\Sigma, X)$ and $S(\Sigma, X)$ is dense in $B(\Sigma, X)$ it suffices to prove that \hat{U} is (r, p) -absolutely summing on $S(\Sigma, X)$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S(\Sigma, X)$. Then there is $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\} \subset \Sigma$, a finite partition of T and $x_{ij} \in X$ such that $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \chi_{E_j} x_{ij}$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} l_r(\hat{U} f_i \mid i = 1, n) &= l_r \left(\sum_{j=1}^k G(E_j) x_{ij} \mid i = 1, n \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k l_r(G(E_j) x_{ij} \mid i = 1, n) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|G(E_j)\|_{r,p} w_p(x_{ij} \mid i = 1, n), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

since G takes its values in $\text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$. But $w_p(f_i \mid i = 1, n) \geq \max_{j=1, k} w_p \times (x_{ij} \mid i = 1, n)$ (because if $\|x^*\| \leq 1$, $t \in E_j$, $j = 1, k$ then $w_p(f_i \mid i = 1, n) \geq (\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle f_i, x^* \otimes \delta_t \rangle|^p)^{1/p} = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x^* f_i(t)|^p)^{1/p} = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_{ij})|^p)^{1/p}$) thus,

$$\begin{aligned} l_r(\hat{U} f_i \mid i = 1, n) &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|G(E_j)\|_{r,p} \right) w_p(f_i \mid i = 1, n) \\ &\leq |G|_{r,p}(T) w_p(f_i \mid i = 1, n), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

since G has finite variation with respect to the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm on $\text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$ (here, $|G|_{r,p}(T)$ is the variation of G with respect to the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm on $\text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y)$). This shows that U is (r, p) -absolutely summing and $\|U\|_{r,p} \leq |G|_{r,p}(T)$ and the proof is finished. \square

In the next theorems we give two applications of the results of [Theorem 5](#).

THEOREM 6. *Let $U : C(T) \rightarrow Y$ be an absolutely summing operator, $V \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Z)$. Then the injective tensor product $U \otimes_{\epsilon} V$ is an element of $\text{As}_{r,p}(C(T, X), Y \otimes_{\epsilon} Z)$.*

Proof. Let $F \in \text{rcabv}(\sum, Y)$ be the representing measure of U , (see [\[3\]](#)). Then $G(E)x = F(E) \otimes V(x)$, $x \in X$, $E \in \sum$ is the representing measure of $U \otimes_{\epsilon} V$. In addition, $G(E) \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y \otimes_{\epsilon} Z)$ and $\|G(E)\|_{r,p} \leq \|F(E)\| \|V\|_{r,p}$ for $E \in \sum$. Indeed, for $E \in \sum$, let $S_E : Z \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\epsilon} Z$, $S_E(z) = F(E) \otimes z$. Then $G(E) = S_E V$, hence, because $(\text{As}_{r,p}, \|\cdot\|_{r,p})$ is a normed ideal of operators and $V \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Z)$, we obtain that $G(E) \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X, Y \otimes_{\epsilon} Z)$ and $\|G(E)\|_{r,p} \leq \|S_E\| \|V\|_{r,p}$. But $\|S_E\| \leq \|F(E)\|$ and hence $\|G(E)\|_{r,p} \leq \|F(E)\| \|V\|_{r,p}$. Now F has bounded variation and hence G satisfies the properties from [Theorem 6](#). Thus, $U \otimes_{\epsilon} V \in \text{As}_{r,p}(C(T, X), Y \otimes_{\epsilon} Z)$. \square

In [\[2, Chapter 34\]](#), various results concerning tensor stability and tensor instability of some operator ideals are given. In the next theorem, we prove a result of the same type.

THEOREM 7. *Let $U : X \rightarrow X_1$ be an integral operator, $V \in \text{As}_{r,p}(Y, Y_1)$. Then $U \otimes_{\epsilon} V \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y, X_1 \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1)$ and $\|U \otimes_{\epsilon} V\|_{r,p} \leq \|U\|_{\text{int}} \|V\|_{r,p}$.*

Proof. As U is an integral operator, we have the factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{U} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{J} & X_1^{**} \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow S & \\
 & & C(T) & &
 \end{array} \tag{12}$$

where S is an absolutely summing operator (T being a compact Hausdorff space), (see [\[2, 3\]](#)).

Hence we have the following factorization of $U \otimes_{\epsilon} V$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y & \xrightarrow{U \otimes_{\epsilon} V} & X_1 \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_1^{**} \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1 \subset (X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y)^{**} \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow S \otimes_{\epsilon} V & \\
 & & C(T, Y) & &
 \end{array} \tag{13}$$

(For the inclusion $X_1^{**} \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1 \hookrightarrow (X_1 \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1)^{**}$, see [\[4, Lemma 1\]](#).) Using [Theorem 6](#) it follows that $S \otimes_{\epsilon} V \in \text{As}_{r,p}(C(T, Y), X_1^{**} \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1)$, hence by the ideal property of $\text{As}_{r,p}$ we obtain that $J(U \otimes_{\epsilon} V) \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y,$

$X_1 \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1)^{**}$, where J is the canonical embedding into the bidual, and hence $U \otimes_{\epsilon} V \in \text{As}_{r,p}(X \otimes_{\epsilon} Y, X_1 \otimes_{\epsilon} Y_1)$.

The inequality $\|U \otimes_{\epsilon} V\|_{r,p} \leq \|U\|_{\text{int}} \|V\|_{r,p}$ is also clear. \square

Acknowledgement

We thank the referee for his useful suggestions and remarks.

References

- [1] R. Bilyeu and P. Lewis, *Some mapping properties of representing measures*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **109** (1976), 273–287. [MR 54#13563](#). [Zbl 336.46048](#).
- [2] A. Defant and K. Floret, *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 176, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993. [MR 94e:46130](#). [Zbl 774.46018](#).
- [3] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 15, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1977. [MR 56#12216](#). [Zbl 369.46039](#).
- [4] G. Emmanuele, *Remarks on weak compactness of operators defined on certain injective tensor products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), no. 2, 473–476. [MR 92m:46109](#). [Zbl 776.46030](#).
- [5] S. Montgomery-Smith and P. Saab, *p -summing operators on injective tensor products of spaces*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **120** (1992), no. 3-4, 283–296. [MR 93e:47026](#). [Zbl 793.47017](#).
- [6] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, Mathematical Monographs, vol. 16, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978. [MR 81a:47002](#). [Zbl 399.47039](#).
- [7] D. Popa, *2-absolutely summing operators on the space $C(T, X)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **239** (1999), no. 1, 1–6. [MR 2000j:47038](#). [Zbl 942.47013](#).
- [8] C. Swartz, *Absolutely summing and dominated operators on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973), 123–131. [MR 47#9330](#). [Zbl 226.46038](#).

DUMITRU POPA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OVIDIUS UNIVERSITY OF CONSTANTA, CONSTANTA 8700, ROMANIA

E-mail address: dpopa@univ-ovidius.ro



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

